



ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯ LIBRARY UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES BENGALURU

UASB LIBRARY NEWS

News Paper Clippings

Pg . 01 Friday 04-12-2020

B.C. Patil lands in the soup over remarks on farmers ending lives

He had described farmers committing suicide as "cowards"

SPECIAL CORRESPONDENT MYSURU

While a mammoth agitation by farmers is on at the national capital, Karnataka's Minister for Agriculture B.C. Patil found himself at the centre of a controversy over his alleged remarks against farmers committing suicide.



Agriculture Minister B.C. Patil

Former Chief Ministers H.D. Kumaraswamy and Siddaramaiah were quick to denounce the Minister for describing farmers committing suicide as "cowards", with the JD(S) leader urging Mr. Patil to apologise to the farming community.

Participating in a programme at Ponnampet in Kodagu on Thursday, Mr. Patil had urged farmers not to commit suicide.

"Farmers should not commit suicide. Those who commit suicide are cowards. Whatever may be the reason, they should not take such a step. They should fight against problems and emerge out of it," Mr. Patil said. The government will provide all kinds of support to farmers and there is no reason for them to lose hope, Mr. Patil said.

Taking exception to Mr.

The Union and State governments should support the farming community by formulating and implementing schemes for them. They should not be labelled cowards.

H.D. KUMARASWAMY Former Chief Minister

gress to the BJP, the former Chief Minister said a farmer does not change his colour like a "chameleon" for the sake of power. A farmer is hardworking and depends on his land to earn a living. "The union and State governments should support the farming community by formulating and implementing schemes for them. They should not be labelled cowards," Mr. Kumaraswamy said.

'For their honour'

When moneylenders harass them, they fear for their honour and take recourse to suicide. "A farmer is not a coward as the Agriculture Minister says," Mr. Kumaraswamy said. The JD(S) leader accused Mr. Patil of insulting farmers.

"A farmer commits suicide when he feels he has lost respect in front of his wife, children, relatives, and fellow villagers," he said.

Taking a dig at Mr. Patil for defecting from the Con-

'Irresponsible statement' Mr. Siddaramaiah too criticised the remarks. "It is an irresponsible statement," said the Congress leader in response to a question by a reporter in Mysuru. "He is the Agriculture Minister. He should speak responsibly," he said.

For more information visit University Library Website Web - uasbagrilibindia.org E-mail- librarian@uasbangalore.edu.in

04.12.20 Hindu pg 04

In farmers' protests, the core is procurement

Farmers of Punjab and Haryana need the MSP-procurement system, but the government needs it even more for the PDS



C.S.C. SEKHAR

Farmers' protests have erupted once again in north India. The farmers' unions want nothing short of a complete withdrawal of the recently enacted Farm Acts, which they claim will ruin small and marginal farmers. Their main worry is about a possible withdrawal of the Minimum Support Price (MSP) and a dismantling of the public procurement of grains. They also contend that by leaving farmers to the mercy of the open market, the stage has been set for large private players to take over agriculture.

Epicentre of the unrest On the other hand, the government claims that the Acts will only increase options for farmers in the output markets, that the MSP-procurement system will continue, and that there is absolutely no plan to dismantle the system. To support its claims, the government points to the fact that there is absolutely no mention of either MSP or procurement in the Acts. So why are farmers so agitated as to go on a march to Delhi, which according to reports, even turned ugly in several places? It needs to be noted that the protests are lar-

gely in the States of Punjab and Haryana. If the government's assertions are true, then why are farmers in these States so rattled? And how true are the claims and counter-claims put forth by the two sides?

For a start, the government's claim that there is no mention of MSP-procurement in the Acts is true. It is also true that a large-scale public procurement of paddy is going on in Punjab as the protests are raging. But farmers are really worried and appear prepared for the long haul. Why?

It is the lifeline here The Public Distribution System (PDS) is the lifeline in these States. Farmers in Punjab and Haryana are heavily dependent on public procurement and assured price through MSP. This is far greater than farmers in any other State. Nearly 88% of the paddy production and 70% of the wheat production in Punjab and Haryana (in 2017-18 and 2018-19) has been absorbed through public procurement, Food Grains Bulletin and Agricultural Statistics at a Glance, Government of India (https://bit.ly/3g4i8VY and https://bit.ly/3g5WfWj).

In contrast, in the other major paddy States such as Andhra Pradesh, Telangana, Odisha and Uttar Pradesh, only 44% of the rice production is procured by public agencies. In the case of wheat, this percentage is even lower. In the major wheat States of Madhya Pra-



REUTERS

des and Uttar Pradesh, only a quarter (23%) of the production is procured by public agencies. This clearly shows the heavy dependence of farmers in Punjab and Haryana on MSP and the public procurement system. In a sense, this system has been the lifeline of these States. It is conceivable then that any disruption to the system, real or perceived, will cause a major upheaval. The farmers are now up in arms against the government as they perceive their very existence to be at stake.

Government's obligations The government needs to continue procurement too. What is missed in this scenario is that if farmers of Punjab and Haryana need the procurement system, the government needs it even more. This is because of its obligations under the PDS and the National Food Security Act (NFSA). Support under the NFSA is a legal and rights-based entitlement.

There are nearly 80 crore NFSA beneficiaries and an additional eight crore migrants who need to be supported under the PDS. The government needs an uninter-

rupted supply of grain, particularly from these two States, to maintain the PDS. In the last three years, nearly 40% of the total paddy production in the country (45 million tons) and 32% of wheat production (34 million tons) has been procured by public agencies to supply the PDS.

This year, due to the onset of the novel coronavirus pandemic and the migrant crisis, the government has earmarked much larger quantities for public distribution - about 58 million tons of rice and 37 million tons of wheat. This translates into nearly half of the rice production (49%) and 35% of the wheat production in the country. This implies that the government needs to procure a huge quantum of grains than in previous years as the government cannot afford to go to the open market. That is a sure recipe for disaster as prices will skyrocket, and with the stock restrictions gone under the recent Essential Commodities Act Amendment, there is a possibility of large-scale hoarding too.

Why these States matter If the government intends to procure such huge quantities of grains, then it needs to turn to these two States, because it is in the forefront in supplying grains to procurement agencies. Nearly 35% of the rice and 62% of the wheat procured in the last three years has been from these States. Also, nearly 50% of the total

coarse grains came from these two States. Thus, the government has little option but to continue its procurement from these States in the foreseeable future. Even after the COVID-19 situation improves and the migrant crisis abates, the obligations under the NFSA will continue.

It is clear that dismantling the procurement system is neither in the interests of farmers nor the government. Therefore, it is imperative that the government reaches out to the farmer groups and assures them of the indispensability of MSP-procurement system. The government needs to start this initiative immediately to allay their legitimate concerns.

Improvements needed Apart from addressing the core issue of the MSP-procurement system, many more improvements are needed in the Acts. The absence of a regulatory mechanism (to ensure fair play by private players vis-a-vis farmers) and the lack of transparency in trade area transactions are two of the major limitations that need to be addressed immediately. The severe trust deficit that resulted from the way the Farm Bills have been rushed through needs to be addressed by adopting a conciliatory approach towards farmers and the States.

C.S.C. Sekhar is Professor of Economics, Institute of Economic Growth, University of Delhi

04.12.20 Hindu pg 06

"WHEN you focus ON THE GOOD, THE GOOD INCREASES."